Торіс

• Code generation and "optimization"

- (notes from lecture)
 - optimize mult: arg signs, arithmetricks, in-lined shifts & adds, shift and sub for runs of 1's
 - distributive rule and mult-elimination: not likely usable, but maybe in array indexing
 - also, note that "responsible programmer wouldn't write a*b + a*c" also reflects back on our progr
 - aggressive optimization comes at a cost: compiler call flags a good idea
 - do one extreme example (63 * x^2 +7*(x=READ) + (y=12))

• Context

- we have seen how to construct a *parse tree* using a stack (or the more concise *abstract syntax* use this representation of program structure to implement its "meaning" as a computation
- specifically, we have seen how we can *interpret* the tree *dynamically* (e.g., reading input interactor corresponding expression and *static translation* (or compilation) to generate code
- in general, interpretation and code generation involve walking the tree in some pre-determined ord respect the meaning of the language) and generating a sequence of instructions (or several sequence prologue/main body/epilogue)
 - (in more realistic compilers a *code* graph is often used, in order to reflect more complex lang
- finally, we have seen how we might generate code for different machines, either directly for the "re ultimate target or indirectly through an *abstract machine* such as the *stack-environment m*

• Problems and strategies

- we are now faced with some decisions about what techniques to use to implement various features the target machine (or some intermediate machine)
- as discussed in lecture, we may choose **simpler** strategies (which generally have less coverage but more **complex** ones, or we may choose to **vary** our techniques on a case-by-case basis
- in addition to general issues of "aggressiveness" (how much work to do to try and optimize), we mespecific issues and interaction between features (e.g., competition for scarce resources such as regimes and interaction between features (e.g., competition for scarce resources such as regimes and interaction between features (e.g., competition for scarce resources such as regimes and interaction between features (e.g., competition for scarce resources such as regimes and interaction between features (e.g., competition for scarce resources such as regimes and interaction between features (e.g., competition for scarce resources such as regimes and interaction between features (e.g., competition for scarce resources such as regimes and interaction between features (e.g., competition for scarce resources such as regimes and interaction between features (e.g., competition for scarce resources such as regimes and interaction between features (e.g., competition for scarce resources such as regimes and interaction between features (e.g., competition for scarce resources such as regimes and interaction between features (e.g., competition for scarce resources such as regimes and the scarce resources and the scarce resource
- **optimizations** (a misnomer: they might not actually be optimal) can either be done on the tree b during the generation process or on the resulting instruction sequence (perhaps even different mod stages of intermediate code)
- in many cases a *static analysis* of the program (computing some kinds of measures, statistics c source code itself) will be necessary or useful for determining what optimizations to try

Modifications to the tree

- *static evaluation:* we can analyze the tree to find sub-trees which have no variable references or them with their actual values
 - (we must take care to ensure that our compile-time arithmetic accurately models the run-time ϵ
- *variable value propagation:* we can extend the idea above to include the values of variables, a to evaluation order and the changes in a variables value
- *sub-tree reordering:* we have seen that a stack-based approach is biased in that right-leaning t leaning ones; we may want to try and re-order the tree using, e.g., associativity and commutativity
 (again, we must be careful about changing the order of evaluation of variables, inputs, etc.)
- *algebraic rewriting:* in addition to the use of associativity and commutativity, we may want to distributivity laws in order to consolidate results or to (e.g.) reduce expensive operations like multiplication of the second second

Handling multiplication

- generally speaking, we might either choose to write multiplications *in-line* or to call a *sub-routi*
- we have code already to handle multiplcation (from lab), but note that, for example, handling nega expensive (in run time, code size and register usage)

amming

: tree) and how we can

ctively) to evaluate the

ler (usually in order to ces, in the case of

uage features) al" machine which is our t**achine** used in class

of the source language on

are more efficient) or

ust consider featureisters) efore we generate code, lifications on different

or other data on the

[·] inputs and then replace

environment/machine)

s long as we are sensitive

rees are preferred to leftproperties

use identity, zero and plication

ne

tive numbers was